

**CENTRAL MAINE POWER COMPANY
RESPONSE TO ORAL DATA REQUEST NO. 1
DOCKET No. 2008-255**

November 7, 2008

ODR-01-41

Q. Please provide a comparison between the Demand Response values in the GDS study (179 MW) to the DR results from the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Auction.

A. The 179 MW figure referenced in the question is the potential load reduction due to non-generation-based demand response resources estimated by GDS at the customer level for the summer peak in 2017 for only CMP's service territory. This GDS estimate was grossed up by CMP's share of total Maine load in order to convert the estimate to a state-wide figure, and losses and reserve margin were added. The first two ISO-NE Forward Capacity Auctions ("FCAs") are for summer 2010 and 2011, respectively, for the entire State of Maine. The ISO-NE figures include losses and reserve margin, and also include generation-based demand response resources. The generation-based demand response resources were excluded from the ISO-NE figures.

The following table provides the comparison requested. Note that the State of Maine is a separate, export constrained capacity zone in the second auction. The second FCA will occur in December 2008. Since we do not now know how much will clear in Maine, we have assumed the same ratio of cleared to qualified as in the first FCA. We also assumed that all cleared resources in the first FCA requested and receive a contract of more than one year.

ODR-01-41 (continued):

COMPARISON OF GDS DR POTENTIAL vs. ISO-NE FCA'S

GDS ESTIMATE OF DR POTENTIAL FOR CMP

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Northern	67	73	78	83	89	94	100	105	111	117
Southern	26	30	34	38	42	46	50	54	58	62
Total	94	103	112	121	131	140	150	159	169	179
77% gross-up for all of Maine	122	134	146	158	170	182	194	207	220	232
8% with losses	131	144	157	170	183	197	210	223	237	251
with losses & reserve margin	151	166	181	196	211	226	241	257	273	289

ISO-NE FCA RESULTS FOR MAINE CAPACITY ZONE ^[1]

FCA1 ^[2] DR qualified	171
FCA1 ^[2] DR cleared	143
FCA2 ^[3] DR qualified	92
FCA2 ^[3] assumed cleared ^[4]	77
FCA1 DR cleared + FCA2 DR cleared	220

[1] excludes new generation, emergency generation, energy efficiency, and DR-OP resources; includes losses and reserve margin

[2] FCA1 is for capacity year 2010/2011, which includes the summer 2010 peak

[3] FCA2 is for capacity year 2011/2012, which includes the summer 2011 peak

[4] assumed same ratio of cleared to qualified amounts as FCA1

Response Prepared and Submitted by:

Richard S. Hahn

Principal Consultant

La Capra Associates, Inc.